

WASC 2015 Academic Resource Conference (ARC) April 22-24, 2015 Oakland Marriott City Center Oakland, CA

Time to Degree: A Myth Demystified

Xuanning Fu | Associate Dean | College of Social Sciences Hongtao Yue | Research Analyst | Office of Institutional Effectiveness California State University, Fresno

The high cost of excess time and credits to degree

Estimated cost to average U.S. student, in attendance and lost wages, for each additional year spent in school:

\$51,000 at 2-year public

\$68,000 at 4-year public

\$19 billion estimated total cost of excess credits per year nearly \$8 billion paid by students, \$11 billion by U.S. taxpayers.

Source: Complete College America, "Four-Year Myth," 2014, estimates based on U.S. institutions

Time to Degree: A Myth Demystified

► We question the validity and reliability of <u>Calendar Year</u> as a measure of time to degree, because \blacktriangleright Calendar years \neq enrolled years Variation of enrolled and earned units by term Variation of total required units

Trends of Student Characteristics: 2002 to 2008 First-Time Freshmen Cohorts vs. Fall 2014 Freshmen Cohort

Student Characteristics	Fall 2002-2008 Cohorts	Fall 2014 cohort
Headcount	17,680	3,422
Underrepresented minority (URM)	56.8%	72.3%
First-generation college students	61.3%	72.6%
Pell grant eligibility	47.2%	65.2%
Avg. HS GPA	3.28	3.34
Avg. SAT COMP	939	904
English/Math remediation required	70.8%	61.1%
Pre-college experience	21.4%	28.3%

<u>First Factor</u> Affecting Time to Degree: Enrolled Terms vs. Calendar Years

# of enrolled	Graduation in calendar years									
terms	1-3 yr	4 yr	5 yr	6 yr	7 yr	8 yr	9> yr	Total HC		
3	1									
4	3		3	1		1		8		
5	10	3	12	1	1	4	1	32		
6	85	18	11	7	9	3	2	135		
7		254	29	17	15	12	9	336		
8		2077	102	31	27	8	10	2255		
9			1381	46	31	16	19	1493		
10			2359	201	39	19	29	2647		
11				861	62	30	25	978		
12				980	119	38	22	1159		
13			2010		286	20	23	329		
14					230	40	23	293		
15						76	12	88		
16						46	21	67		
17							19	19		
18		and the second se						1.4		
19							5	5		
20							3	3		
21							2	2		
22							· <u>1</u>			
Total HC	99	2352	3897	2145	819	313	240	9865		

<u>First Factor</u> Affecting Time to Degree: Enrolled Terms vs. Calendar Years

# of enrolled	Graduation in calendar years									
terms	1-3 yr	4 yr	5 yr	6 yr	7 yr	8 yr	9> yr	Total HC		
3	1									
4	3		3	1		1		8		
5	10	3	12	1	1	4	1	32		
6	85	18	11	7	9	3	2	135		
7		254	29	17	15	12	9	336		
8		2077	102	31	27	8	10	2255		
9			1381	46	31	16	19	1493		
10			2359	201	39	19	29	2647		
11				861	62	30	25	978		
12				980	119	38	22	1159		
13		2009 2009	219 2		286	20	23	329		
14					230	40	23	293		
15						76	12	88		
16						46	21	67		
17							19	19		
18		aller					14			
19							5	5		
20							3	3		
21							2	2		
22							1			
Total HC	99	2352	3897	2145	819	313	240	9865		

First Factor Affecting Time to Degree: Enrolled Terms vs. Calendar Years

# of enrolled	Graduation in calendar years									
terms	1-3 yr	4 yr	5 yr	6 yr	7 yr	8 yr	9> yr	Total HC		
3	1									
4	3		3	1		1		8		
5	10	3	12	1	1	4	1	32		
6	85	18	11	7	9	3	2	135		
7		254	29	17	15	12	9	336		
8		2077	102	31	27	8	10	2255		
9			1381	46	31	16	19	1493		
10			2359	201	39	19	29	2647		
11				861	62	30	25	978		
12				980	119	38	22	1159		
. 13			and the second s		286	20	23	329		
14					230	40	23	293		
15						76	12	88		
16						46	21	67		
17							19	19		
18		9446 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	aller		aller.	244	14	ale 14		
19							5	5		
20							3	3		
21							2	2		
22							- 1 ^{**}	1		
Total HC	99	2352	3897	2145	819	313	240	9865		

4-year Graduates and Graduation Rate								
Calendar year	2,451	13.9%						
Enrolled year	2,767	15.7%						
% change	12.9%	1.8%						

6-year Graduates and Graduation Rate

Calendar year	8,493	48.0%
Enrolled year	9,044	51.2%
% change	6.5%	3.1%

Note: Enrolled year = 2 enrolled terms

<u>First Factor</u> Affecting Time to Degree: Enrolled Terms vs. Calendar Years										
		Gr	aduatio	n in cale	endar ye	ears				
nna - Anna - Anna - Anna -	1-3 yr 4 yr 5 yr 6 yr 7 yr 8 yr 9> yr									
Avg enrolled terms	5.8	7.9	9.5	11.2	12.3	12.8	12.9			
% of ever stopped out	7.1%	2.1%	8.5%	21.0%	45.4%	69.3%	90.0%			
Avg stopped terms	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.4	1.2	2.8	6.1			
Fotal HC = 9865	99.	2352	3897	2145	819	313	240			

<u>Second Factor</u> Affecting Time to Degree: Enrolled Term Units and Earned Term Units

ing 1911 - Angeler Banker, 1914 - Angeler Angeler Banker, 1914	Graduation in calendar years							
	1-3 yr	4 yr	5 yr	6 yr	7 yr	8 yr	9 yr or more	Grand Total
		Ada				a in the second s	Jaho	
Term units enrolled	17.6	16.1	14.7	13.9	13.4	12.9	12.8	14.5
Ratio of earned to								
enrolled term units	0.98	0.97	0.93	0.88	0.84	0.80	0.77	0.90
Headcount	99	2,352	3,897	2,145	819	313	240	9,865
If 15 units are full time, students	200 200	ining Hernin a - Lining-	an in a staining-	-1996-1-255 -1996-1-255		e off	. De rees bet	an a

If 15 units are full-time, students who took 5 or more calendar years to graduate were on average NOI full time.

Students who took longer to graduate tend to have lower term success. The 6th-year graduates failed 12% of their courses, and this percentage rises to 16%, 20% and 23% for 7th-year and beyond graduates.

<u>Third Factor Affecting Time to Degree - Total Earned &</u> Total Required Units: Single-major Graduates*

Graduation in calendar years 9 yr or Grand 1-3 yr **4** yr 5 yr 6 yr **8** yr more Total 7 yr Cumulative units earned at graduation 129 132 137 141 146 147 138 145 Ratio of total earned vs. total required units 1.09 1.13 1.16 1.20 1.21 1.20 1.141.07 Headcount 82 1,838 3,068 1,607 607 249 189 7,640

* Single-major graduates had only one major (without a second major, a minor or certificate). On average, 16% of the total units earned by 6th-year graduates are not needed for graduation. This percentage remains stable at around 20% for those who took 7 or more years to graduate.

Conclusion

Calendar Year as a measure of time to degree is neither valid nor reliable. We propose a 3-indicator measure to monitor progress and efficiency of earning a degree: Enrolled Terms (Actual time spent in school) Term Units Ratio (Success rate by term) Total Units Ratio (Overall efficiency given term units ratio)

Conclusion (continued) In combination, Term Units Ratio and Total Units Ratio better reflect institutional efficiency than passage of time to degree. The smaller the deviation from a ratio of 1.00, the more efficient an institution will be. With a given Term Units ratio, a student taking 6 years to graduate with a Total Units Ratio of 1.10 is more successful than someone taking 5 years to graduate with a ratio of 1.20.