CSB Writing Rubric	Style and Format	Mechanics	Content and Organization
4 – Exemplary	<ul> <li>In addition to meeting the requirement for a "3," the paper is consistent with the CSB Style Manual throughout.</li> <li>Models the language and conventions used in related scholarly/professional literature.</li> <li>Would meet the guidelines for a professional publication.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In addition to meeting the requirements for a "3," the paper is essentially error free in terms of mechanics.</li> <li>Writing flows smoothly from one idea to another.</li> <li>Transitions help establish a sound scholarly argument and aid the reader in following the writer's logic.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In addition to meeting the requirements for a "3," the paper excels in organization and presentation of ideas related to the topic.</li> <li>Raises important issues or ideas that may not have been represented in the literature cited.</li> <li>Would serve as a good basis for further research on the topic.</li> </ul>
3 – Accomplished	<ul> <li>While there may be many minor errors, CSB Style Manual conventions for style and format are used consistently throughout the paper.</li> <li>Demonstrates thoroughness and competence in documenting sources; the reader would have little difficulty referring back to cited sources.</li> <li>Style and format contribute to the comprehensibility of the paper.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>While there may be many minor errors, the paper follows normal conventions of spelling and grammar throughout.</li> <li>Errors do not interfere significantly with comprehensibility.</li> <li>Transitions and organizational structures such as subheadings are used that help the reader move from one point to another.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Follows all requirements for the paper.</li> <li>Topic is timely and carefully focused.</li> <li>Clearly outlines the major points related to the topic; ideas are logically arranged to present a sound scholarly argument.</li> <li>Paper is interesting and holds the reader's attention.</li> <li>Does a credible job summarizing related literature.</li> </ul>
2 – Developing	<ul> <li>While some of the CSB Style Manual conventions are followed, others are not. Paper lacks consistency of style and/or format.</li> <li>It may be unclear which references are direct quotes and which are paraphrased. Based on the information provided, the reader would have some difficulty referring back to cited sources.</li> <li>Significant revisions would contribute to the comprehensibility of the paper.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Frequent errors in spelling, grammar (such as subject/verb agreements and tense), sentence structure and/or other writing conventions make reading difficult and interfere with comprehensibility.</li> <li>Writing does not flow smoothly from point to point; lacks appropriate transitions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>While the paper represents the major requirement, it is lacking in substantial ways.</li> <li>The content may be poorly focused or the scholarly argument weak or poorly conceived.</li> <li>Major ideas related to the content may be ignored or inadequately explored.</li> <li>Overall, the content and organization needs significant revision to represent a critical analysis of the topic.</li> </ul>
1 - Beginning	<ul> <li>CSB Style Manual conventions are not followed.</li> <li>Fails to demonstrate thoroughness and competence in documentation.</li> <li>Lack of appropriate style and format make reading and comprehensibility problematic.</li> </ul>	- Paper contains numerous errors in spelling, grammar, and/or sentence structure that make following the logic of the paper extremely difficult.	<ul> <li>Analysis of existing scholarly/professional literature on the topic is inadequate.</li> <li>Content is poorly focused and lacks organization.</li> <li>The reader is left with little information about or understanding of the paper's topic.</li> </ul>