

MINUTES OF THE UNIVERSITY BUDGET COMMITTEE  
CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO  
5241 N. Maple, M/S TA 43  
Fresno, California 93740-8027  
Office of the Academic Senate  
Ext. 8-2743

September 22, 2010

Members Present: J. Constable (Chair), J. Kus, P. Newell, J. Parks, J. Waayers.

Member Absent: A. Parham (excused).

Visitor: Provost Covino.

The meeting was called to order by Chair Constable at 3:35 p.m. in TA #117.

1. Minutes. MSC to approve the Minutes of 9/15/10 as amended to change 3.C. from \$5M to \$5.9M.
2. Agenda. MSC to approve the Agenda as amended to remove item #7. Discussion of the Multiple Off-Campus Degree Program: MA in Education in Educational Leadership and Administration (Focus on PreK-12 Administration) and the Preliminary Administrative Services Credential and add a new item #7. Review of costs associated with student reviews of faculty performance .
3. Communications and Announcements.

It was noted that the all the senate committees have significant number of vacancies: UBC (3); GE (3); Personnel (4); and UBORT (3)

4. New Business – None.
5. Discussion of the presentation of University funding patterns since 1998 by Provost Covino.

There was a general discussion identifying interest in the different sources of non-state funds (student fees, initiation of self-funded programs, grants and contracts, etc.) that are being used to supplement instruction as state appropriations decline.

It was also notes that the perception of increased funding over the period covered by the review was inaccurate as funds have also been used to cover increased compensation and not classroom activities.

The Provost noted that there was funding to increase student enrollment due to receipt of the \$5.9 M one-time funds, but concern centered on the University's ability to continue to serve future students should the CSU system fail to receive additional funds for the 2010-2011 year and beyond.

Additional information suggested by the UBC that would further aid interpretation of the budget data over the period covered include:

SFR

Mean number of seats occupied per section

FTEF

Number of remedial sections

Normalized dollars / FTES, data by College could be informative as well.

6. Discussion of the agenda for the 2010-2011 academic year.

Potential agenda items were presented for discussion during the 2010-2011 academic year include:

Continuation of review of the parking situation. The Chair of the UBC will update the UBC on the situation. Noted points included:

Parking permit dispensers were not as reliable as hoped

Clovis community Hospital is building a new parking structure for ~\$11K per space, a value lower than the estimate of \$20-25K per space used by the University.

Concern was voiced that the UBC still desires a firm foundation of Parking Office income and expenditures.

Continued review of academic programs approved since 2002 with updates on:

Doctoral Program in Physical Therapy

MS in Forensic Science

MS in Biotechnology

Continued model modification focusing on concerns such as:

Potential differences in base costs of operation and instruction between Schools and Colleges.

Potential inclusion of a component to account for differential distribution of Assistant/Associate and Full Professors among the Schools and Colleges.

Potential need for Market-based differences among Schools and Colleges to ensure recruitment of the best faculty.

Clarity of model terminology and ease of operation.

Differentiation among 9, 10, and 12 month employees

The role of the designated market demand (DMD)

Clarifying the role of the current six “relative factors” in the allocation calculation and using more reflective base numbers used for the calculation of the factors.

Create a more interactive version of the model for Deans to use in examining “what if” scenarios.

Potential examination of mechanisms to identify low performing programs.

7. Review of costs associated with student reviews of faculty performance

The costs of student ratings of faculty performance was briefly discussed before the meeting was adjourned. Discussion centered less on the costs of performing the ratings (costs of the in-house and external vendors were approximately similar), but more on the policy as to how the rating results were to be used.

MSC to continue the discussion at the next meeting

MSC to adjourn at 5:10 p.m.

The next University Budget Committee meeting will be on Wednesday, September 29, 2010 at 3:30 p.m. in TA #117.

## Agenda

1. Approval of the Minutes of September 22, 2010.
2. Approval of Agenda.
3. Communications and Announcements.
4. New Business.
5. Review of Costs Associated With Student Reviews of Faculty Performance.
6. Update of On-Going Reviews of Academic Programs
7. Update on the Parking Budget